Background and Motivation  $_{\rm OOOO}$ 

Duplex 0000 Sharding Strategy

Implementation

Evaluation

Conclusion

## Accelerating Large-Scale Distributed Neural Network Training with SPMD Parallelism

#### $\label{eq:shiwei} \textbf{Shiwei} \ \textbf{Zhang}^1 \quad \text{Lansong Diao}^2 \quad \text{Chuan Wu}^1 \quad \text{Siyu Wang}^2 \quad \text{Wei Lin}^2$

<sup>1</sup>The University of Hong Kong <sup>2</sup>Alibaba Group

#### ACM SoCC 2022





Background and Motivation

Duplex 0000 Sharding Strategy

Implementation

Evaluation 000000 Conclusion 00

Deep neural networks (DNN), especially Transformer-based models with **Mixture-of-Expert (MoE)** layers, have become so large that **distributed training is necessary**.

Communication overhead is a major problem in distributed DNN training. Using **TPUs** with fast device-to-device links, communication takes up to 11% of training time. On **GPU clouds** with Ethernet connection, communication can take more than 60% of training time.

We present our system, HiDup, that mitigates the communication overhead by computation-communication overlapping and overlapping-aware sharding strategy.

Background and Motivation

Duplex 0000 Sharding Strategy

Implementation

Evaluation

Conclusion

### Content

Background and Motivation

Duplex

#### Sharding Strategy

Implementation

#### Evaluation



Background and Motivation

Duplex 0000 Sharding Strategy

Implementation

Evaluation

Conclusion

### Background and Motivation

Background and Motivation

Duplex 0000 Sharding Strategy

Implementation

valuation

Conclusion

### Data Parallelism

With data parallelism (DP), a minibatch of training data is split along the batch size dimension and each worker processes a slice independently. All-Reduce is used to synchonize the grandients at the end of each iteration.







parallelism is a more general method that allows sharding along any dimension of any tensor. Collective communication is needed when the sharding methods of two tensors are incompatible.



Background and Motivation  $000 \bullet$ 

Duplex 0000 Sharding Strategy

Implementation

Evaluation

Conclusion

### SPMD Parallelism Examples





(b) Self-Attention

Source: Shoeybi, M., et al. "Megatron-LM" (2019).



Source: Lepikhin, D., et al. "GShard" (2020).

Duplex •000 Sharding Strategy

Implementation

Evaluation

Conclusion

## Duplex: Enable Computation-Communication Overlapping with SPMD Parallelism

Background and Motivation

Duplex

Sharding Strategy

Implementation

Evaluation

Conclusion

### Duplex

Inspired by gradient accumulation, we split the input data on each worker into two microbatches **after applying SPMD parallelism**, and scheduling the two microbatches into a pipeline such that the computation of one microbatch overlaps with the communication of the other microbatch.





Background and Motivation

Duplex

Sharding Strategy

Implementation

Evaluation 000000 Conclusion

### Duplex

• Accuracy: Only floating-point arithmetic errors.

**Memory Usage**: No increase.

**GPU Utilization**: Slightly reduced due to smaller tensor sizes.

 Overheads: CUDA synchonization, gradient aggragation, memory bus contention, interference between computation and communication.

**Speed-up**: Up to 100% in ideal case.

Background and Motivation

Duplex 0000 Sharding Strategy

Implementation

Evaluation

Conclusion

### Duplex-aware Sharding Strategy

Background and Motivation

Duplex

Sharding Strategy

Implementation

Evaluation

Conclusion

### Motivation

With the fast emergence of new DNN models, manually designing SPMD strategies for each model is manpower intensive and time-consuming. Further, the strategy may not always be optimal on different clusters.

Existing studies search for sharding strategy that **minimizes communication volume**. This strategy may not be optimal when used together with Duplex.

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Sharding Strategy

- **Objective**: Find the sharding methods for all operators that minimizes the training time with computation-communication overlapping.
- Basic Idea: Using dynamic programming to incrementally search for the best strategies of a subgraph.
- **Challenge**: The best strategy of a subgraph may not be optimal for the complete graph due to computation-communication overlapping.
- Solution: We propose a stage-based cost model and track two costs associated with a search state.

Sharding Strategy

Implementation

1: Input: Computation graph G

valuation

Conclusion

## Sharding Strategy

#### More details in the paper.

![](_page_14_Figure_9.jpeg)

2: Output: Optimal SPMD strategy Q\* 3: Initialize P with an empty strategy  $Q_{\emptyset}$ 4: for  $C = C_0$  to  $C_n$  do **for**  $O \in P$  where  $C \in \text{state}(O)$  **do** 5: 6: **for** each (O, S) that can be appended to Q **do** 7:  $Q' \leftarrow Q \oplus (O, S)$ if  $\exists Q_p \in P$  s.t. state $(Q_p) = \text{state}(Q')$  and Q' is 8: dominated by  $Q_p$  then continue 9: end if 10: for  $Q_p \in P$  where state $(Q_p) = \text{state}(Q')$  do 11: 12: if  $\hat{Q}_p$  is dominated by  $\hat{Q}'$  then remove  $Q_p$  from P 13: end if 14: 15: end for append Q' into P16: end for 17: 18: end for 19: end for

Background and Motivation

Duplex 0000 Sharding Strategy

Implementation •00 Evaluation

Conclusion

### Implementation

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

We implement HiDup as a graph transformation module on PyTorch. It takes as input a single-card model (as PyTorch fx graph) and the cluster specification, producing a modified graph that runs on all workers.

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

Duplex 0000 Sharding Strategy

Implementation

Evaluation 000000 Conclusion

### Implementation

- Annotator: Label the possible sharding methods for each operator, infer the tensor sizes, and estimate the FLOPs.
- Strategy Searcher: Take annotated graph as input and search for the optimal sharding strategy.
- **Compiler**: Modify the graph according to the strategy and applies Duplex.

Background and Motivation

Duplex 0000 Sharding Strategy

Implementation

Evaluation •00000 Conclusion

### **Evaluation**

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

Background and Motivation

Duplex

Sharding Strategy

Implementation

Evaluation 00000 Conclusion

### Experimental Setup

- Testbed: 8 machines on public cloud, each with 8 V100 GPUs and NVLink, connected by 10Gbps network.
- Benchmarks: BERT (language modeling) and ViT (image classification), with two variants of MoE layers, SGMoE and Switch.
- **Baselines**: DeepSpeed, FastMoE, PyTorch DDP, and Horovod.

Sharding Strategy

Implementation

Evaluation

Conclusion

### Per-iteration training time

![](_page_20_Figure_8.jpeg)

HiDup outperforms baselines when scaling up, because the collective communication becomes slower with more cards and HiDup can mitigate the increased communication overhead with our Duplex design.

Sharding Strategy

Implementation

Evaluation

Conclusion

### Single Machine Performance

![](_page_21_Figure_8.jpeg)

Pure-DP methods perform well with high bandwidth. HiDup automatically identifies similar strategies and achieves comparable performance despite of the additional overheads introduced by our Duplex design.

Sharding Strategy

Implementation

Evaluation

Conclusion

#### Per-iteraion time breakdown

	Single Machine			Two Machines (100Gbps)			Two Machines (30Gbps)		
System	HiDup	DeepSpeed	FastMoE	HiDup	DeepSpeed	FastMoE	HiDup	DeepSpeed	FastMoE
Wall time (s)	0.2972	0.3988	0.3492	0.3985	0.4993	0.6971	0.6657	0.8251	0.7563
Total time (s)	0.3245	0.3813	0.3375	0.4611	0.4827	0.6857	0.7453	0.8055	0.7417
Computation (s)	0.2948	0.3531	0.2182	0.3088	0.3479	0.2252	0.3021	0.3469	0.2300
Communication (s)	0.0297	0.0282	0.1193	0.1523	0.1347	0.4605	0.4432	0.4585	0.5117
Overlapping ratio	91.92%	0	0	41.10%	0	0	26.35%	0	0

HiDup's total time is similar to the baselines, but it achieves shorter wall time by overlapping computation and communication.

Duplex 0000 Sharding Strategy

Implementation

Evaluation

Conclusion

## SPMD Strategy

HiDup generates different strategies for the same model on different clusters.

It automatically identifies expert-designed strategies for common models.

![](_page_23_Figure_10.jpeg)

Background and Motivation

Duplex 0000 Sharding Strategy

Implementation

Evaluation

Conclusion

### Conclusion

Duplex 0000 Sharding Strategy

Implementation

Evaluation 000000 Conclusion

### Conclusion

- We propose Duplex that enables computation-communication overlapping with SPMD parallelism.
- ▶ We design a Duplex-aware sharding strategy search algorithm.
- We explore graph transformation on PyTorch and implement HiDup based on PyTorch fx.

# Thank you!

Email: swzhang@cs.hku.hk