

"Cloud Native" Applications

Middle of a great transition

- unlimited "ethereal" resources in the Cloud
- an environment of *services* not machines
- thinking in APIs and co-designed services
- high availability offered and expected

Google has been developing and using **containers** to manage our applications for **over 10 years.**



2B launched per week
simplifies management
performance isolation
efficiency

VMs vs. Containers



Containers: less overhead, enable more "magic"

Merging Two Kinds of Containers

<u>Docker</u>

- It's about *packaging*
- Control:
 - o packages
 - o versions
 - o (some config)
- Layered file system
- ⇒ Prod matches testing

Linux Containers

- It's about *isolation* ... *performance isolation*
- not security isolation
 ... use VMs for that
- Manage CPUs, memory, bandwidth, …
- Nested groups



Kubernetes: Higher level of Abstraction

Think About

- Composition of services
- Load-balancing
- Names of services
- State management
- Monitoring and Logging
- Upgrading

Don't Worry About

- OS details
- Packages no conflicts
- Machine sizes (much)
- Mixing languages
- Port conflicts

Evolution is the Real Value

Apps Structured as Independent Microservices

- Encapsulated state with APIs (like "objects")
- Mixture of languages
- Mixture of *teams*

Services are Abstract

- A "Service" is just a long-lived abstract name
- Varied implementations over time (versions)
- Kubernetes routes to the right implementation

Service-Oriented Architecture?

This is similar, but a also new Practical difference:

- Simple network RPCs now common
- JSON/http for REST (or gRPC for sessions)

Much better structure

- Micro \Rightarrow smaller services and more of them
- New in Kubernetes: modular sub-services

A Quick Look @ Your Code





& Your Code Community



SOA... wrong granularity



O Google Cloud Platform

Kubernetes: sub-structure



Don't think of a container as the boundary of your application

"A container is more like a class in an object-oriented language."

---- Google's Brendan Burns

O Google Cloud Platform

Requirements...

Sharing among containers

- Share namespaces (esp. PID, Network and IPC)
- Share filesystems
- (Often) Share a resource hierarchy



Requirements...

Atomic co-scheduling of containers

• Composition requires co-location



Requirements...

Parameterization of containers

- Configurable at runtime
- Documented and discoverable



Substructure

Containers:

- Handle *package* dependencies
- Different versions, same machine
- No "DLL hell"

Pods:

- Co-locate containers
- Shared volumes
- IP address, independent port space
- Unit of deployment, migration



Dependencies: Services

Service:

- Replicated pods
 - Source pod is a template
- Auto-restart member pods
- Abstract name (DNS)
- IP address for the service
 - \circ in addition to the members
- Load balancing among replicas



Service IP

Some Patterns...

Examples of how you use substructure



Sidecars Sidecars extend and enhance



Sidecar Pattern

Sidecars extend and enhance



Ambassador Pattern

Ambassadors represent and present



Adapters normalize and abstract



Example: Rolling Upgrade with Labels



Summary

A new path for Cloud Native applications:

- Collection of independent (micro) services
- Each service evolves on its own
 - o Scale as needed
 - Update as needed
 - Mix versions as needed
- Pods provide critical structure
 - Template for service members
 - Group containers and volumes
 - Dedicated IP and thus port space
- Containers are the new "classes"

BACKUP

How?

Implemented by a number of (unrelated) Linux APIs:

- **cgroups:** Restrict resources a process can consume
 - CPU, memory, disk IO, ...
- **namespaces:** Change a process's view of the system
 - Network interfaces, PIDs, users, mounts, ...
- capabilities: Limits what a user can do
 - mount, kill, chown, ...
- **chroots:** Determines what parts of the filesystem a user can see

Google confidentiai + Do noc